ORIGINAL

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ROME DIVISION

JAN 15 2008

JAMES N. HALTEN, CLERK

U.S.D.C. Atlanta

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION)	By: Caputy Cler
Plaintiff,)	CIVIL ACTION NO.
v.)	1 08-CV-0146
RUBY TUESDAY, INC.,)))	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendant.)	

COMPLAINT

This is an action under Title I of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, and Title I of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, to correct unlawful employment practices based on disability and to provide appropriate relief to Paula Ardito ("Ardito") and class member Lisa Webb ("Webb"). The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") alleges that Ruby Tuesday, Inc., ("Defendant") refused to hire Ardito and Webb into positions, for which they were qualified, because of their disability.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 451, 1331,
1337, 1343, and 1345. This action is authorized and instituted pursuant to Section

107(a) of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 ("ADA"), 42 U.S.C. § 12117(a), which incorporates by reference Sections 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ("Title VII"), 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(1) and (3), and pursuant to Section 102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1981a.

2. The employment practices alleged to be unlawful were committed within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia, Rome Division.

PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, is the agency of the United States of America charged with the administration, interpretation, and enforcement of Title I of the ADA and is expressly authorized to bring this action by Section 107(a) and Section 503(c) of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12117(a) and § 12203(c), which incorporates by reference Sections 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(1) and (3).
- 4. At all relevant times, Defendant has continuously been doing business in the State of Georgia, and has continuously employed at least fifteen (15) employees.
 - 5. At all relevant times, Defendant has continuously been an employer

engaged in an industry affecting commerce under Section 101(5) of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12111(5), and Section 101(7) of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12111(7), which incorporates by reference Sections 701(g) and (h) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §2000e(g) and (h).

6. At all relevant times, Defendant has been a covered entity under Section 101(2) of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12111(2).

STATEMENT OF CLAIMS

- 7. More than thirty (30) days prior to the institution of the lawsuit, Ardito filed a charge with the Commission alleging violations of Title I of the ADA by Defendant. All conditions precedent to the institution of this lawsuit have been fulfilled.
- 8. Since at least June 2006, Defendant has engaged in unlawful employment practices in violation of Section 102 of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12112(a), by refusing to hire Ardito and class member Webb into positions, for which they were qualified, because of their disability.
- 9. The effect of the practices complained of in paragraph 8 above has been to deprive Ardito and Webb of equal employment opportunities and otherwise to affect adversely their status as employees because of their disability.
 - 10. The effect of the practices complained of in paragraph 8 above has been

to inflict emotional pain, suffering, and inconvenience upon Ardito and Webb and to deprive them of the financial and other benefits of working for Defendant.

- 11. The effect of the practices complained of in paragraph 8 above was intentional.
- 12. The practices complained of in paragraph 8 above were done with malice or with reckless indifference to the federally protected rights of Ardito and Webb.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court:

- A. Grant a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant, its officers, successors, assigns, and all persons in active concert or participation with them, from engaging in any employment practice that discriminates on the basis of disability;
- B. Order Defendant to institute and carry out policies, practices, and programs that provide equal employment opportunities for individuals with disabilities, as those terms are defined by the ADA, and which eradicate the effects of its past and present unlawful employment practices;
- C. Order Defendant to make Ardito and Webb whole by providing appropriate back pay with prejudgment interest, in amounts to be determined at trial, and other affirmative relief necessary to eradicate the effects of its unlawful employment practices, including but not limited to instatement and/or front pay.

D. Order Defendant to make Ardito and Webb whole by providing

compensation for past and future pecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful

practices described in paragraph 8 above, including job search expenses and medical

expenses, in amounts to be determined at trial.

E. Order Defendant to make Ardito and Webb whole by providing

compensation for past and future non-pecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful

practices described in paragraph 8 above, including emotional pain, suffering,

inconvenience, loss of enjoyment of life, and humiliation, in amounts to be determined

at trial.

F. Order Defendant to pay Ardito and Webb punitive damages for its

malicious or reckless conduct, as described above, in amounts to be determined at

trial.

G. Grant such further relief as the Court deems necessary and proper in the

public interest.

H. Award the Commission its costs of this action.

[Jury Demand and signature page follows]

5

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

The Commission requests a jury trial on all questions of fact raised by this Complaint.

Respectfully submitted,

Ronald S. Cooper General Counsel

James L. Lee

Deputy General Counsel

Robert K. Dawkins

Georgia Bar No. 076206

Regional Attorney

EEOC Atlanta District Office 100 Alabama St., SW, Suite 4R30

Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Telephone: (404) 562-6818

Facsimile: (404) 562-6905